

January 28, 1976

to the Supreme Court to prove that we are wrong. If you don't approve of 202, why push the red button. That is the easiest way to take care of it. I haven't made up my mind for sure which one I'm going to push when it gets over there to Final Reading. I don't think that we should put this Warner amendment in the bill. I just don't think that it would be constitutional to do so. Now Senator Mahoney, I think in his talk he brought up this thing about additional 1¢ sales tax in Lincoln and Omaha. We give those two cities the right to go ahead and levy this and it keeps popping up, it has given these cities a tremendous advantage over the out-state counties and cities, yes it has George, now you broke my line of thought. Well, after all I say that this Warner amendment is a poor amendment. I agree in principle in what he is trying to do, I would hope that those people out there could reduce their tax levies, but I don't think that they are going too. I think that you have to look at the total bill, not only the one amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I want to join in expressing my opposition to the proposed amendment. There are a couple of thoughts that stand out in my mind first of all when the original 14.2 mill levy was established, there were not the very large things like medicaid that were there then, and since then the result of state laws, federal laws all kinds of additional requirements have been imposed on the countys for additional expenditures that were not contemplated when the original levy limit was established. That is not the countys fault that is our fault. The second thing, I think is that we keep protesting here at the state level about the federal government telling us what to do and they set up programs that back us into expenditures and now were trying to turn around and doing the same things to the county governments I think that they are perfectly capable of making a decision whether they can responsibly reduce their mill levy. I think that if they can they will. I think that we should let them take that responsibility and make that decision and they will in turn have to make that responsibility relate to the people that elect them. I think that is the way our government should operate not this way.

PRESIDENT: Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, the Senators here who are getting up and saying that this is for increased services, that is contrary to all the testimony and all of the lobbying that has gone on here. Now I would be perfectly happy if the county commissioners want to come to me and say, we have got a bunch of services that we want to add and we have a bunch of new expenditures that we want to incur and that is why we want LB202 to pass, that is not what they have told me and that is not what they are telling the people. What they are telling the people is that we want to reduce your property taxes and the mean old legislature won't do it. That is what they are telling them this year, until the bill passes, then they say we have got all of these new programs and all of these new expenses, and I have looked up in the Blue Book, which I was trying to find before